

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

The Regular meeting of the Board of Water Commissioners was held and brought to order at their office, 67-73 South Main Street Gloversville, NY on June 13 2023, at 6:00 PM with President Antonucci presiding.

Roll Call

<u>Commissioners</u>	
Antonucci	PRESENT
Capano	PRESENT
Isabella	ABSENT
White	PRESENT
Chittenden	ABSENT

Others In Attendance: Water Superintendent, Anthony Mendetta; Clerk of the Board, Christine Linart, Andrew White, Chief Water Treatment Plant Operator; Alvin Hichens, Meter Department Foreman; Jason Matt, Ti-Sales, Ron Schur, Bryant Schur, Kristyn Schur.

Christine then explained to the Board that she had Audits that she had to pull out of our regular Audit List.

These items must be voted on separately due to a conflict with Commissioner Capano.

The Audit #22643 in the amount of \$192.03 and Capital Audit# 1511 in the amount of \$41.99 payable to Gloversville True Value needed to be voted on with Commissioner Capano having to abstain from voting to approve.

Commissioner Antonucci made a motion to approve Audit# 22643 in the amount of \$192.03 and Audit# 1511 in the amount of \$41.99 payable to Gloversville True Value. The motion was seconded by Commissioner White.

Commissioner	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Antonucci	X			
Isabella			X	
Capano				X
White	X			
Chittenden			X	

The remaining audited bills were presented and reviewed:

JC Smith Inc	-5,463.00
United States Postal Service	-1,041.39
Aqua Logics Systems Inc	-625.00
Bobcat of Gloversville-Johnstown LLC	-165.00
CDPHP	-21,133.75
Century Linen Service, Inc	-45.50
Christopher R Jablonski	-30.00
Commissioner of Finance	-1,576.92
Core & Main	-6,151.36
Empire BlueCross Retiree Solutions	-7,055.52
JM Berry Enterprises Inc	-20.00
National Grid	-1,315.66
NBT Insurance Agency	-68.20
Robert C Cooper	-215.00
VWR International LLC	-222.61
WB Mason Co Inc	-64.68

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

United States Postal Service	-378.00
Harry Ferguson	-230.00
Aflac	-1,948.80
Cranesville Block Company Inc	-193.66
Ferguson Enterprises LLC	-3,266.00
G A Bove & Sons Inc	-1,815.03
Hummel's OP Inc	-115.85
Marcus Noble Inc	-37.03
Spectrum	-107.98
Tolls by Mail Processing Center	-11.12
Commissioner of Finance	-236,116.89
NBT Credit Services	-541.04
Barbara & Samuel Hillabrandt	-1,978.80
Century Linen Service, Inc	-45.50
Christopher R Jablonski	-810.00
Core & Main	-3,582.33
Dominic & Eleanor Donofrio	-1,978.80
Frontier Communications Corporation	-756.99
Harriet Ballou	0.00
Jeffrey F Lehner dba JFL Inc of Fulton Co	-376.98
Michael J Clukey	-989.40
Robert E & Sandra Jackson	-1,978.80
Robert Renda	-989.40
Ted Penney	-989.40
Twin Bridges Waste & Recycling LLC	-137.50
Petty Cash	-184.63
H & M Equipment Co Inc	-437.03
Kingsboro Lumber Co Inc	-247.25
National Grid	-30.81
Telecon Wireless	-486.75
WB Mason Co Inc	-379.92
Juan Pablo Quizhpi	-560.13
Andrew White	-1,050.00
Amfex Chemical Co Inc	-3,847.50
Cheryl & Richard Kennedy	-1,978.80
Christopher R Jablonski	-30.00
Commissioner of Finance	-1,073.78
Cranesville Block Company Inc	-250.69
Cushing Stone Company Inc	-58.09
Daily Gazette	-533.80
Data West Corporation Inc	-720.00
David Snell	-175.00
Emmons Metro LLC	-88.70
Frontier Communications Corporation	-131.94
Gloversville True Value	SEP VOTE
Harriet Ballou	-989.40
Howell Benefit Services, WEBSURANCE TRUST	-501.71
JH Consulting Group Inc	-4,464.00
Joe & Laurie Liszewski	-1,978.80

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

Kingsboro Lumber Co Inc	-465.94
Lowe's	-818.24
Nancy Waffle	-989.40
National Grid	-1,147.69
Runnings Supply Inc	-462.34
Security Supply Corp	-216.84
Thomas Jackson	-989.40
Ti-Sales Inc	-23,884.19
WB Mason Co Inc	-322.74
William & Janice Blodgett	-1,978.80
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-20,719.58
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-1,499.74
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-23,623.64
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-1,734.43
Morgan White Administrators	-673.81
Morgan White Administrators	-673.81
Intuit Inc	-417.60
Intuit Inc	-650.00
Frontier Communications Corporation	-311.01
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-22,696.05
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-1,663.41
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-22,887.18
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-1,679.83
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-23,366.89
Payroll Account NBT Bank	-1,718.96
Frontier Communications Corporation	-500.00
	<u>-480,659.14</u>

CAPITAL PROJECT AUDIT:

C.T. Male Associates -	\$ 1,711.25
C.T. Male Associates -	\$ 20,047.50
Gorman Bros. Inc. -	\$ 634.84
Ferguson Waterworks -	\$ 2,083.28
Cranesville Block Co -	\$ 497.92
Cushing Stone Co -	\$ 1,021.18
Bobcat of Gville/Jtown -	\$ 429.00
Core & Main -	\$ 7,150.00
Board of Water Comm -	\$ 54.65
Emmons Metro LLC -	\$ 24,575.00
C.T. Male Associates -	\$ 232.50
JC Smith Inc. -	\$ 13,000.00
Ti-Sales Inc. -	\$ 1,015.00
Ti-Sales Inc. -	\$ 180.56
Runnings -	\$ 219.98
Ti-Sales Inc. -	\$ 273,538.25
Ti-Sales Inc. -	\$ 50,000.00
Runnings -	\$ 39.98

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

Gloversville True Value - \$ Separate Vote

A motion was made by Commissioner Antonucci that the audited bills listed above are ordered paid. The motion was seconded by Commissioner White.

Commissioner	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Antonucci	X			
Isabella			X	
Capano	X			
White	X			
Chittenden			X	

New Business

Bryant, Kristyn, and Attorney Ron Schur are guests regarding the property at 201 W Fulton St aka 199 W Fulton St. Bryant received a high water bill and requested to read a statement to the Board regarding the bill. Bryant made copies available to the Board and read the following statement:

SEE ATTACHED STATEMENT

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

Early on Tuesday morning, 5/23/23, I opened the previous day's mail. On this day, I received my regular water bills and an unusually high water bill for \$10,345.47 for my 4-unit property at 199 W Fulton Street. To put this into perspective, that represents 143,000 cubic feet of water or over 1,070,000 gallons of water in just a 173-day billing period. This equates to an averaging about 6,200 gallons of water per day, every single day for 6 months. The building is a four-unit apartment building, with each unit being a single-bedroom, single-bathroom apartment.

After opening the bill for \$10,000 I immediately assumed it was a billing error or some type of mathematical error, so I didn't get too stressed about it. Right away, I called the water department but didn't get through to someone so I left a voicemail.

Shortly after this between 9 or 10 AM, Christine was nice enough to return my call in a prompt manor. After a quick review She informed me they believed the bill to be legitimate and that there was no mathematical or billing error that she could see. Christine informed me that its very possible that a leaky toilet could have caused this discrepancy and that they see occasionally. She also told me that it looks like the usage is still highly elevated and showing a potential leak. I challenged this a bit I still didn't think there would be a leak. If there had been a leak for 6 months The sheer volume she was speaking of seemed improbable and would cause significant interruptions at the property over the last 6 months. I told Christine how we have not had any complaints or concerns so I was skeptical and that I would check the property out, however I believed something was very wrong on the billing or reading end at this time. With this information Christine suggested a few things to check that could impact the reading. The meter could be a gallon meter which would mess the reading up, or they might have recorded the wrong serial number for the meter and maybe its reading someone else's home, or lastly that the size of the meter wasn't the same as the size of the pipes. At this point I assumed it must have been one of these issue. I still wanted to make sure there wasn't an active leak so I contacted a veteran maintenance professional that I regularly use for emergency situations. He headed right over to the property, arriving around 10AM shortly after my conversation with Christine. When he arrived he noticed immediately that the basement was dry and no water was running through the meter at all. I asked him to check the serial number Christine provided me , along with the meter type, piping, and the readings. He confirmed the serial number and reading that Christine had given were accurate.

Immediately, I called Christine back and she dispatched another water department employee to investigate with our maintenance team. When this employee arrived no less than 20 minutes after our maintenance worker, he agreed the water meter was not running. Almost instantly, he began accusing the maintenance worker of "fixing the leak" before his arrival. The maintenance worker assured him he hadn't touched anything. The basement was dry and we hadn't entered any apartment yet. Both the employee and the maintenance worker left, as there was no reason to believe a leak existed. While he was there the employee of the water department confirmed water meter wasn't running, so we had no reason for concern of an ongoing leak at that time. Nevertheless, this left me with more questions than answers as we still needed to account for what I thought was an impossible over 1 million gallons of water that could have leaked in the house.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

At this point, I assumed there must be an issue with the meter readings or with the billing department, as nothing abnormal was found at the apartment complex. By 11AM, I had called Christine back at the water department to update her on the situation. Once again, I assured her that we hadn't altered anything and recounted how her technician, who had arrived shortly after us, found the water meter stationary and no evidence of a major leak to the scale of what was reading daily at this time.

Christine then tried to reinforce that it must be a leaky toilet, mentioning again how this is something they commonly see. I challenged her, arguing I found it seemingly impossible for such a volume to leak from a toilet or any source that wouldn't leave substantial and obvious damage, and I mentioned that at the rate of which water was being used, The toilets would have to be overflowing as they simply would not be able process that amount of water per day. Christine explained that as of that morning, our water usage was still alarmingly high and actively showing large readings. She mentioned they receive remote updates every 100 cubic feet. Just in the short and early morning of this day, we had already used 100 cubic feet, approximately 750 gallons of water. Given that both the water department and we had been at the property without any water usage, I found this highly unlikely. Christine instructed me to call her back around 3 PM to check if the meter was still registering high water usage.

At 3:05 PM on 5/23/23, I called Christine back. She informed me that the meter had registered another 100 cubic feet of water (or another 750+ gallons), since we left the property, implying that a leak was still present. I quickly sent the maintenance worker back to the property, hoping for some clarity. On arrival, everything was dry, the tenants had water pressure, the water meter wasn't registering any usage, and all seemed well. To confirm this, we spent a while recording the water meter with a phone. The water meter never moved at all after a long period of time. After speaking to some of the residents, we learned that no one had experienced any water-related issues. I called Christine back and she repeated the same advice, to check the toilet, etc. I understood at this point that most likely ran through most of the options she had to try to figure this out. She also proposed that I could pay to have the water meter tested for unreliability, which I agreed to, considering it the only logical explanation.

The next morning, I visited the property myself to inspect the meter and attempt to understand the situation. I didn't see any movement in the meter or signs of even the smallest leak, let alone a leak of 6,200 gallons per day. There was no water flowing or draining. From the basement, I called Christine, who had instructed me to ensure that the incoming water line and the water meter's measurement matched up. To my relief, I noticed a one-inch water line leading into the property, which then narrowed down to a ¾ inch line for the water meter, and then widened back to a one-inch line after the meter. I thought this discrepancy could finally be the answer we were looking for.

However, when I relayed this information to Christine at her office, she seemed surprised, but someone in the background dismissively stated that this detail actually did not matter. To be honest at this point I

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

began to be suspicious of the water department. It felt to me at this time they were more interested in deflecting the issue rather than resolving it logically. They remained adamant that there was a 0% chance of technical or human error in the meter readings and implied that even know we cant explain It or back it with any logic, we must have used the water.

Upon returning home, I dove into some research on the model of water meter installed at the house. I quickly found articles and posts about some of the more common issues with Neptune water meters. The water department's insistence that there was "no way" the meter was faulty only heightened my suspicion of their intentions. I then found one specific article stating, "Water meters can sometimes over-register flow at low flow rates and under-register at high flow rates. A sudden change in the pipe diameter (from 1 inch down to ¾ inch and back to 1 inch, and then back down to ¾ inch) could potentially create a situation where the flow rate is low when water is being used but appears to be high when passing through the meter, causing the meter to over-read."

At this point, I was fairly certain that the water department was either 1) not being honest with me to protect themselves from a potentially systemic issue, or 2) simply negligent about the various issues that could arise with the meters, reading technologies implemented, and all the retrofitting they had done to a 50-year-old meter. Even without being in the industry, it didn't require much knowledge to consider that a 50-year-old meter, which had been retrofitted at some point to be read from outside the house, and then retrofitted again to be read from a distance, might have technical issues. It could even expose previous inaccurate or negligent readings. While I was not explicitly accusing them of anything, it seemed the most likely explanation at the time.

After reading some articles and manuals and doing some calculations on the alleged usage, it became even clearer that something was indeed very wrong here. Consequently, I called the department and cancelled my meter test appointment, as I felt they were clearly biased and not genuinely interested in helping me resolve the problem. At this point, I also reached out to my attorney, as I felt there was something systemically and inherently wrong with the situation.

I knew that we hadn't lied to them or concealed any leaks, so I turned to logic and calculations to investigate whether it was even possible to lose over 1,070,000 gallons of water through a toilet or other regular leak means within a 173-day timeframe. My suspicions was that it was going to be proved impossible.

I then began calculation and breaking down the potential theories the water department had mentioned.

Toilet Theory - These calculations I am about to review and break down are from the United States Geological Survey (USGS). This is a government agency that employs scientists to specifically study our

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

country's water resources, like how much water we use and how leaks might occur and the maximum water we can lose in the situations. The USGS's thorough and dedicated research on water usage and leakage rates provides reliable, data-driven insights. The USGS scientific studies show that even in the case of a continuously running toilet due to a faulty flapper valve or somehow left wide open, the maximum daily leakage of a large 2 gallon toilet cannot exceed that of 200 gallons per day.

If it were to exceed this amount, the water pressure in the building would decrease and the toilet would have to overflow, causing substantial damage. Furthermore the toilets use only a 3/8 compression line that limits the amount of water that can flow per minute. Then you have to consider that this would have to occur 24/7 for 173 days and even in this extreme scenario, and it would only account for only about 3% of the alleged daily usage. To be precise, according to the USGS numbers for the worst possible toilet leak, it would take approximately 31 toilets running non-stop for 173 days to equal this output. Clearly, this is not possible and is not the case. Furthermore the building had no water issues or complaints so we clearly had all of our water pressure during the 173 Days

Furthermore, once I received data from the time the remote system started reading the meter on March 26, it still indicated elevated water usage, but nowhere near the alleged overage averages. This means that before the remote readings began, we were using over 7130 gallons per day during the 137 days without remote data. To reach that figure, about 36 toilets would need to be running continuously throughout that time.

Continuing down the path of looking into leaks like the ones suggested by the water department, I looked at the maximum loss calculated using the USGS's scientifically based program. If we were dealing with leaky hot water tanks, we would need 112 of them leaking continuously for six months. If it were a leaky sink, we would require 390 sinks leaking 24/7 for six months. It quickly became clear that neither a leaky toilet nor any other leaky fixture could come remotely close to accounting for the over 1 million gallons of "missing" water in this timeframe.

Water Meter Inaccuracy – On May 25th, after presenting these calculations to the water department, they suggested we test the water meter and even offered to do it for free, as I had canceled the test and they knew I was considering taking action against them.

During my visit to the water department for the test, I experienced some challenges in the interaction with the employees. I felt that I was continually met with resistance and skepticism, being told that I was wrong and that a leaky toilet could be the cause, despite my own analysis and calculations. Additionally, they insisted that they had never estimated my meter reading, dismissing the possibility of any discrepancies. As we are aware, there have been instances in the past where meter readings were frequently estimated, leading to seemingly implausible actual readings. In those cases, the board has worked diligently to address the concerns of homeowners. It was disheartening to hear the

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

department's assertion that the meters are always right and their unwillingness to acknowledge the potential for errors.

After persisting for an hour, my concerns were validated when the meter failed its tests. Although it did not officially fail the low-flow test at 101%, it did show an overestimation. Furthermore, it failed at 96% on the high-flow test. This confirmed my belief that the meter's inaccuracy could have played a significant role in the issue. It was disappointing to hear Mr. Mendetta and the employees remark that the failure was in my favor and that I should consider myself lucky, as my bill could have been even higher. However, from my perspective, this did not indicate that I was undercharged, but rather highlighted the meter's inherent inaccuracy. I also want to mention that the employees kept telling me that they don't care what my water bill is because they don't get paid on my usage they get paid the same amount no matter what. When I would present them with facts and data they kept mentioning things that were irrelevant and not backed by solid factual data.

It is important for the board to be aware of these interactions and the concerns raised during the process. While I understand that the employees were simply doing their job, I believe there is room for improvement in the level of professionalism and open-mindedness displayed. It is my hope that this issue will be addressed, and steps will be taken to ensure more effective communication and resolution in the future.

When the meter failed, Mr. Mendetta suggested visiting my property to take photographs of the toilets, hot water tanks, and other plumbing fixtures to see if they had been recently replaced. He mentioned that his workers had noticed two brand new water heaters during their visit on May 23rd. On the spot while still at the water department, I pulled up the receipts on my phone showing the invoices for these water heaters with the property's address, both dated for 2021. He stated this evidence supported my case and suggested that the photographs would further strengthen my argument.

While still at the water department, Mr. Mendetta suggested taking these photos to prove that I hadn't replaced any toilet internals. Even though I had already demonstrated the impossibility of a leak on such a massive scale, I still wanted to provide further evidence to show that nothing had been replaced. Before leaving the water department, I called my maintenance worker to meet an employee from the water department at my property. They went through the property, taking photographs of any potential areas that could suggest I was being untruthful or had replaced something. When the employee (I believe his name was Jesse) returned, I was waiting for him at the water department still. I said to him, Told you we didn't replace anything. And he responded with that he agreed, and everything he saw looked old and not replaced.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

Soon after I left the water department, Mr. Mendetta called me with surprising news. He stated that, upon looking through the photographs, it appeared that I had installed a new bathtub and toilet in one of the apartments. Not only did I know this wasn't the case, it was a very deflating moment for me as I thought I was actually getting somewhere with the water department understanding the situation. I assured Mr. Mendetta that not only is that toilet not new, I could prove that it wasn't.

Firstly, we record every single call, text email etc. from our tenants. Every word spoken, every text message sent, every work order from start to completion is saved and available for review. After carefully reviewing the tenant's history and work orders, it became apparent that no work had been conducted on her bathroom since I acquired the property nearly seven years ago. To further investigate the matter, I decided to personally reach out to the tenant. Importantly, this conversation took place on a recorded line to ensure accuracy and transparency.

I informed her that the water department believed that her toilet and tub were newer and asked her if she could provide me with an estimate as to when they were installed or worked on last. She detailed that her bathroom had not been touched or worked on for over eight years, as it was renovated by the old owner right before she moved in. She also mentioned the presence of watermarks on the bathtub and toilet, indicating their age. She explained that, although she is a clean freak, the fixtures are in her opinion clearly used.

This was a very disappointing claim that Mr. Mendetta brought to my attention. That being said I understand photos don't always tell the full story. I know Mr. Mendetta is just trying to do his job to his absolute best so I do not blame him for challenging me on this. I have no ill will against anyone at the water department, but I knew at this point that they were not going to believe me.

Water Main Break - Another possible explanation put forward by the water department was a water main break in the basement. They mentioned a similar case involving a vacant warehouse nearby where a water main break caused significant water usage over an extended period of time. However, I found this theory a little offensive because it implied that we lied, that our maintenance worker magically fixed a massive leak of 6,200 gallons per day within just 20 minutes prior to the water department arriving on scene. It also suggested that we then managed to hide any water damage, dry everything up, and conveniently stop the leak while we and the water department were there, oh and somehow we only fixed it for a short period of time as the data showed the high usage was back that afternoon.

When talking about this warehouse that had the leak, the water department emphasized the extensive damage to the vacant warehouse due to the leak and how no one had noticed it because the building was unoccupied. This scenario, however, was in no way similar to my situation. My property is a four-unit building that is occupied, and there had been no complaints about water pressure issues, leaks, damages, or unusual noises.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

Given the lack of these factors, I found it highly unlikely that my property could have lost even just 6200 gallons per day for six months. Through extensive analysis using an engineering-grade AI and various scientifically accurate databases, I consistently proved that, given the data and circumstances, such a situation was impossible.

to summarize some of the calculations provided. The calculations presented describe the maximum water loss potential under two different scenarios: 1. water flow from toilets with no flapper and a ¾ inch line and 2. water flow from a ¾ inch copper pipeline. We used the popular and proven engineering formula, The Hazen Williams Equation to estimate these scenarios.

For the toilets, the maximum flow rates we considered at two different pressures: 40 PSI and 75 PSI. These flow rates are initially given in gallons and are then converted into cubic feet for easy comparison using respective conversion factors. At 40 PSI, a toilet can discharge a maximum of approximately 129.345 cubic feet per day, while at 75 PSI, this amount increases to approximately 284.13 cubic feet per day.

On the other hand, for the ¾ inch copper pipeline, once again using the engineering Hazen-Williams equation to estimate the maximum water loss. This formula takes into account the pipe diameter and water pressure to determine the flow rate. At 40 PSI, the maximum water loss from a ¾ inch line is around 138.27 cubic feet per day, and at 75 PSI, this potential loss increases to 289.22 cubic feet per day.

To summarize this in a worst case scenario of a 40 psi leak, 139 Cubic feet per day lost converts 1040 Gallons per day about 5150 Gallons short of the accused usage.

At a worst case scenario leak and double the amount of the cities average PSI (at 75 Psi), 290 cubic feet converts to 2170 gallons per day which is still over 4000 gallons per day short of the accused amount.

The scientific and mathematical analysis provided clearly demonstrates that the claim of over 1,070,000 gallons of water being used within the given timeframe in the building is not only highly improbable, but virtually impossible. This evidence challenges the credibility of the enormous water loss assertion, highlighting its inconsistency with the realities of water flow and usage.

Not only does the engineering community agree that this is an impossible amount of usage, The United states geological Services Information lines up with this as well.

In Conclusion, I am politely asking for the board to review this water bill and look at it logically. I understand that there are situations that a bill is increased due to leaky toilets etc, however I have proof that this level of usage is impossible in this circumstance. I have my suspicions that this is a systemic issue within the water department and that I may not be the only one with concerns like this. Whether these instances are caused due to human error, negligence, or are indicative of a broader, systemic issue within the water departs Systems, this issue is a concern that requires urgent attention. The consequences of this potential systemic flaw could have significant ramifications for the community, affecting not only billing but also trust in the department's ability to effectively manage water usage, monitor water usage, and to problem solve with logic.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

Based on these findings, I call upon the board of water commissioners to promptly correct my bill to accurately reflect normal water usage. Furthermore, a thorough investigation into the department's potential systemic issues is imperative. Ensuring the staff receive comprehensive training and education is also crucial, as this will help prevent similar situations from occurring in the future, thereby fostering an atmosphere of transparency, accountability, and efficiency.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

After concluding his statement, Bryant stated that it is impossible for his meter to have correctly recorded his usage with calculations based on 40 psi, with a ¾" copper line to his property. Superintendent Mendetta noted he has 90 psi off a 12" main with a 1" water service coming into his property. The larger main was initially put in for the leather mills to provide sufficient water and volume. The property has a 1" service line, which is common for this size building, while other homes may have a smaller service line.

Bryant felt that his problem may be due to his pipe size changing where it decreases and then increases, which he feels may create a vortex. Bryant suggested changing his water line and service, so they are the same size to the meter and after the meter. The Superintendent noted that can be done but the water line is the homeowner's responsibility from the shut off in the terrace to the meter, but not including the meter. A change in the meter size will also change the minimum charge on his bi-annual bill. The Superintendent also noted that subsequent high usage on the new meter became known when it showed up on the new meter reading system. Bryant stated that this latter issue was due to a malicious act where someone broke into a vacant apartment and ripped the flapper out of the toilet. Superintendent Mendetta mentioned that the meter project in the city is for better transparency with our department when issues arise. This incident on the newly installed meter used 23,000 gallons of water from a Friday to Monday. Bryant disagreed that this usage was even possible with a ¾" line and that the increase in water usage the water department worker noted was due to the malicious act of the break in where the toilet flapper was removed. Bryant also again stated that the 1,000 cubic feet per day and or 7,500 gallons per day showing on the new meter was impossible according to his research from civil engineers, other plumbers etc... Bryant stated that he feels that it is impossible for 7,500 gallons per day to go down a toilet with out it overflowing and he also does not believe you can lose that much water down a ¾" line at all. Ron Schur then asked what was the highest water bill that Bryant has had in the past. Anthony explained that prior to this major bill, Bryant's last bill was up over \$1,000.

Christine Linart, Clerk of the Board, mentioned that the water bills at this property had been increasing over the past few bills when it was read with our prior system. Christine also questioned that if Bryant's theory is correct concerning the pipe size difference causing a vortex, why would the meter reading go back down. Bryant did not have any further theory on this. Anthony has never heard of an issue with pipe sizing affecting meter reads as he has seen customers have a ¾" meter with a ½" truck line with no increase in consumption being recorded on the meter. Once the high usage became known, the new meter was installed. Bryant countered that he thought it was due to rate increases when he saw the most significant jump on his prior bill. The Superintendent mentioned that the new metering system did not go live until March of this year, which is when anyone with a new meter installed would begin to show up on the new system, which Bryant was not yet on.

Clerk Linart stated that the meter doesn't record usage unless water goes through the meter and turns the mechanisms. Bryant claimed that some meters such as magnetic meters are known for multiple issues, doubling, or tripling the amount of water going through. Attorney Ron Schur asked if there is an alarm system for usage. Superintendent Mendetta stated that the new monitoring system will alert us when there are 5 days of continuous usage and critical consumption at 15 days of usage, because this is real-time data. Shortly there will be a portal to alert the customers directly when an issue arises. The Board and Department are using this new technology to be more proactive in giving better transparency and better customer service to our customers.

The new monitoring system began in March 2023, but the high usage became apparent in the month of April. Bryant feels that it is impossible due to physics. The Clerk asked if the video shows the reading when the meter was spinning, which it did. She asked if there is a test to see whether the piping issue Bryant brought up can be measured. Jason Matt, TI-Sales, has never heard of such a thing. Bryant said he would like to put in a ¾" service line and the Superintendent said it may decrease his volume with a 4-unit building. Bryant feels the decrease and increase in the pipes before and after the meter is the most probable cause of the issue with his high bill. Bryant asked why there was a reduction from 1" to ¾". Superintendent Mendetta said he doesn't know because that is the homeowner's responsibility. Alvin Hichens, Meter Department Foreman, stated that most homeowners have either a 5/8" or ¾" meter. The larger line pushes more water to the home and the psi is the same, you just get more volume to the home. Many homes have a line with a ¾" service reduced to a 5/8" meter. Reducing the pipe size before and after a meter hasn't been an issue in the Water Department for most customers in the City. In the past if the battery in a meter head died, the customer was estimated on past usage. Bryant stated he hasn't changed anything and is looking for the best solution with the least variables to determine if the piping is a cause for the high meter reading. Ron then suggested that they contact a plumber and have them take a look at the plumbing to get an opinion on whether they think it may be having an effect on the meter.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES -- JUNE 13, 2023

Superintendent Mendetta had Bryant's meter sent out to an independent third party in NH for testing. According to the AWWA standards of 95 to 101.2%, the meter did pass. The high tested at 97%, intermediate at 101, and low at 101.2. Anthony admitted that we have a very old test bench so he sent out the meter to a third party to make sure that we were accurately measuring his usage. For now, the Board is putting the water bill on hold. Bryant said his calculations are noted in the statement he read. Chief Water Treatment Plant Operator, Andrew White, suggested testing the max output at the property with both a Water Department representative and Bryant present. Bryant indicated that he had already done that but preferred to not disclose the results. When Bryant was asked if tenants that were being evicted could have tampered with anything that caused the high usage, Bryant said the tenants have been gone a long time and he's the only one with a key. Although he admitted that someone did break in and tamper with the toilet flapper. Foreman Hichens mentioned his experience with seeing tenants that are being evicted in other properties purposely cause high water bills by letting water run or damaging plumbing with the same result. Bryant felt that with the high water usage we are showing that there should have been water damage due to overflow and there isn't any.

Attorney Schur asked if sewer usage is monitored. Superintendent Mendetta explained that the Water Department only bills for the Sewer Department, and they charge sewer based on water consumption. Attorney Schur said they would work with their plumber and come to the next meeting if necessary. Superintendent Mendetta went over Bryant's current usage and reminded him that once Water Smart goes live, he can sign up to be alerted for any high usage. The Schurs left the meeting.

A motion was made by Commissioner Antonucci to go into Executive Session to discuss potential future litigation. Commissioner Antonucci requested that Jason Matt, Andrew White, and Alvin Hichens be allowed to stay present for the Executive Session including the Superintendent and the Clerk of the Water Board. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Capano.

Commissioner	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Antonucci	X			
Isabella			X	
Capano	X			
White	X			
Chittenden			X	

A motion was made by Commissioner Capano to leave Executive Session. The Motion was seconded by Commissioner Antonucci.

Commissioner	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Antonucci	X			
Isabella			X	
Capano	X			
White	X			
Chittenden			X	

Bid Opening – Timber Sale

With Wayne Tripp on speaker phone bids were opened for the timber sale. A \$52,000 bid was emailed from 3B Timber Company and could not be accepted. A bid of \$88,000 was submitted from Wadsworth Logging. A third bid for \$82,513 was submitted by Prentiss & Carlisle.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

A motion was made by Commissioner Capano to accept the Timber Sale bid from Wadsworth Logging in the amount of \$88,000.00. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Antonucci.

Commissioner	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Antonucci	X			
Isabella			X	
Capano	X			
White	X			
Chittenden			X	

Superintendent's Report

Superintendent Mendetta discussed the following Project Updates with the Board:

1. **DEC Dam Inspection Reports** – The dam inspection reports reported minor repairs such as stump removal and concrete work on the spillway. Port was classified as unsound due to slope stability on the earth embankment, assuming there was no core wall. In 2020 coring was done which has been documented in the updated engineering reports, so likely the classification of Port as still being unsound is an error and will be followed up on and corrected.

2. **Meter Project**
 Third notices are being sent out one hundred at a time. There are 1,445 meters left to be installed in the city. Christine is updating Hydro Utilities with any changes to assist in getting notices out to customers. Tim Canavan will be hired by the Water Department in July leaving Eric Waffle, Vic Marshall and James Canavan as our Hydro Installers. Water Smart is anticipated to be live in July after the office staff is trained. We are currently mailing out letters to anyone with high usage but once Water Smart is live, customers will be able to receive alerts through Water Smart.

3. **Jackson Summit Dam Replacement Project**
 The Spillway chute has been removed. The stone slab is being poured and contractor is forming up the wing wall. The Low level drains need to be addressed. The 1933 valves need attention as they are in poor condition. Keller Company was asked to provide an alternate cost to just redo bolts and leave valves as is for now. Also, Keller Company was asked to quote pricing for gates vs butterfly valves the latter being less costly. The gate quote came in at \$103,998 and CT Male estimates butterfly valves at \$80,000. A butterfly valve would be sufficient for this project. This would only change the material cost of the original contract price with wing walls which was \$1.52 million. By deciding to repair the wing walls and not fully replace them, we decreased cost of the project by \$301,000 and the contract price is now currently at \$1,217,934.70. With a million-dollar grant from CDBG and the funds we have committed of \$207,000, we are currently short \$10,000. DEC has recently come out with grants for High Hazard Dams which the Superintendent is researching. The DEC is giving out \$5 million per grant submittal that is accepted. An engineering report may be good to have since there are other reservoirs that need valve repair. The percentage of responsibility for the department would be 25%. The grant writer believes there is a good chance of us getting this Grant funding. The Board would like to table moving forward with an Engineering Report for now as Anthony needs to get some further information.

Another issue that has come up during the project, is that the apron of the spillway is de-laminating causing a separation at the top level of the concrete, due to water leaking under it. This cost wasn't originally included in the project but CT Male estimates this additional work at a cost of \$40,000 and we have a \$50,000 contingency fund built into the original bid. This should be the end of additional costs on this project. The engineer has been in contact with Keller Co. regarding the possible changes. Keller is not willing to work on valves under the present conditions.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

4. Plant Project

An agreement needs to be made with the City of Gloversville & the Water Works drawn up by an attorney authorizing us to enter into a financial agreement for the State revolving funds to be acquired. The city attorney will draw this up. CT Male has completed the design report and is holding off on a proposal until there is an agreement with EFC because funding needs to be secured first.

5. Lead Serviced Line Replacement Bid

The Notice of Bid is out and contractor bids are due in on July 10.

6. SLA

The SLA pool filling company is using water and has used 375,000 gallons to date this year.

7. Stump Removal

The Board approved the stump grinder rental at the last meeting but when we got the machine it had dull blades and bolts that were falling off, it was sent back. Currently, we are using an E85 excavator in its place as it is more effective for doing the job than the stump grinder. The Excavator is much faster than the Grinder.

8. Watershed Dump Truck

The 2004 dump truck for the Watershed is in bad condition. The dump box is not in good condition. Main Motor has fleet pricing for 2022 Ram 1500 crew cabs, which are each about \$10,000 off. This would allow the small dump truck to go to the Watershed, the Plant truck would get traded in and one new truck would go to T&D and the other to the Superintendent so he can put tools in it when he's out on jobs. The Superintendent would then give his current vehicle to the Plant for collecting samples. This could come out of log sale money.

A motion was made by Commissioner Antonucci to allow the Water Superintendent to trade in our 2012 Chevy 3500 Pickup Truck and to purchase two (2) New 2022 Dodge Ram 1500 Crew Cab Pickup Trucks from Main Motors at fleet pricing of \$38,156.00 each for a total cost of \$76,312.00. The Motion was seconded by Commissioner White.

<u>Commissioner</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Absent</u>	<u>Abstain</u>
Antonucci	X			
Isabella			X	
Capano	X			
White	X			
Chittenden			X	

9. Theft of Services

Through the Meter Project an installer discovered a building had a meter improperly plumbed, prior to the meter, that serviced 4 units in the building. It has since been corrected by the Water Department. The City Attorney will be contacted about taking action regarding theft of services.

Clerk of the Board Report:

1. Clerk Additional Hours: The Clerk of the Board has been submitting timesheets for her additional hours worked and asked the Board to review her hours to see how they want to compensate her for the additional hours due to the many projects going on.

Water Board President, Gary Antonucci sponsored the following resolution and moved for its adoption:

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-9

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM CAPITAL PROJECT 10-R FUND TO CASH ACCOUNT IN THE GENERAL FUND TO UTILIZE EXCESS BOND PROCEEDS TO PAY OUR SHARE OF BOND PAYMENTS FOR THE GIGP METER REPLACEMENT PROJECT FOR 2023.

WHEREAS, the Board of Water Commissioners of the City of Gloversville have proceeded with the following public project ; the Gloversville Water Meter System Replacement Project (the "Project") which will include the replacement of all active 3G, AMR Meters and Meter Heads (approximately 5,664 meters) with new meters and heads with 5G, AMI Technology.

WHEREAS, the Board of Water Commissioners, desire to apply excess Bond Proceeds to cover the Bond Payments for the GIGP Meter Replacement Project for 2023.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS, CITY OF GLOVERSVILLE, NEW YORK, THAT:

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Water Commissioners have approved to allow the Clerk of the Water Board to transfer \$202,118.00 from the Capital Project 10-R Account to the Cash Account in the General Fund to pay for Bond Payments for our GIGP Meter Replacement Project for 2023.

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon passage.

A motion was made by Commissioner Capano seconded by Commissioner White that the above resolution be adopted.

Votes Taken:

	Yes	No
Commissioner Antonucci	X	
Commissioner Capano	X	
Commissioner Isabella	ABSENT	
Commissioner White	X	
Commissioner Chittenden	ABSENT	

Adopted : June 13, 2023

Water Board President, Gary Antonucci sponsored the following resolution and moved for its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-10

RESOLUTION MAKING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION THAT THE WATER TREATMENT PLANT IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT USING NYS ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES CORPORATION (EFC) GRANT FUNDING WILL NOT HAVE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS UNDER THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT (SEQRA)

WHEREAS, grant funding was available through the New York State Environmental Facilities Corporation (EFC) under the Water Infrastructure Improvements Act of 2017 (WIIA) to support local public water and sewer infrastructure improvement activities which are undertaken by eligible municipalities; and

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

WHEREAS, the Gloversville Water Works was awarded WIIA funding and SRF financing from the EFC; and

WHEREAS, the Gloversville Water Works will use the WIIA funding and SRF Financing, to implement the Water Treatment Plant Improvements Project ("Project") including construction of a new pressure boosting pump station on South Eagle Street as well as multiple mechanical and treatment equipment and process improvements to the existing Gloversville Water Treatment Plant. Proposed facility improvements include the construction of a new conditioner basin, installation of a new control system for the plant, rehabilitation of the existing clear-well, installation of solar array, rehabilitation and replacement of various process piping within the plant, replacement of various shut-off valve within the City's existing water distribution system for improved operation and maintenance during water main breaks, and improvements to algal control at two reservoirs; and

WHEREAS, the Gloversville Water Works is required by state regulations to undertake an environmental review of all publicly funded infrastructure activities, including under SEQRA, prior to undertaking any physical construction or site-altering activities; and

WHEREAS, the Gloversville Water Works completed a coordinated review process with involved agencies; and

WHEREAS, no involved agencies were interested in being the lead agency, now therefore

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS, CITY OF GLOVERSVILLE, NEW YORK, THAT:

BE IT RESOLVED, the Gloversville Water Works has completed a coordinated review with involved agencies and completed both of the attached Full Environmental Assessment Forms (EAF) for the Water Treatment Plant Improvements Project with respect to the two project locations where the proposed water treatment facility and process and distribution improvements will occur; and now therefore

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Gloversville Water Works as Lead Agency has classified the proposed Project as an Unlisted Action that will not meet or exceed any Type I thresholds under NYCRR Part 617.4. The Gloversville Water Works is therefore making a Negative Declaration and has determined the proposed Project will not have any adverse impacts on the environment. The Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the Water Superintendent to sign all documents pertinent to SEQR and any related environmental review laws and regulations with respect to this Project.

A motion was made by Commissioner Capano seconded by Commissioner Antonucci that the above resolution be adopted.

Votes Taken:

	Yes	No
Commissioner Antonucci	X	
Commissioner Capano	X	
Commissioner Isabella	ABSENT	
Commissioner White	X	
Commissioner Chittenden	ABSENT	

Adopted : June 13, 2023

Water Board President Gary Antonucci sponsored the following resolution and moved for its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-11

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM THE CAPITAL RESERVE ACCOUNT FOR MAINTENANCE & OPERATIONAL COSTS FOR THE JACKSON SUMMIT RESERVOIR DAM & SPILLWAY TO THE CASH ACCOUNT IN THE GENERAL FUND TO PAY EXPENSES RELATED TO MAINTENANCE OF THE JACKSON SUMMIT RESERVOIR DAM & SPILLWAY.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

WHEREAS, The Gloversville Board of Water Commissioners will transfer moneys from its Capital Reserve Fund account in the General Fund to its Cash account in the General Fund.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS, CITY OF GLOVERSVILLE, NEW YORK, THAT:

RESOLVED, that there was hereby established per Resolution 2021-5 a Special Reserve Fund to commit a portion of our Unreserved Fund Balance to be used for future Operational and Maintenance Costs for our Jackson Summit Reservoir Dam & Spillway.

RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the Water Board is hereby authorized to transfer \$4,148.00 from the Special Reserve Fund account for Jackson Summit Reservoir Dam & Spillway maintenance to the General Fund Cash account to pay expenses for Diving Services for Inspection of the Low Level Drains at the Jackson Summit Reservoir completed on April 28, 2023 by Seaway Diving & Salvage Co. Inc.

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon passage.

A motion was made by Commissioner Capano seconded by Commissioner White that the above resolution be adopted.

Votes Taken:

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Commissioner Antonucci	X	
Commissioner Isabella	ABSENT	
Commissioner Capano	X	
Commissioner White	X	
Commissioner Chittenden	ABSENT	

Adopted : June 13,2023

Water Board President Gary Antonucci sponsored the following resolution and moved for its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-13

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM THE CAPITAL RESERVE HIGH YIELD INTEREST BEARING SAVINGS ACCOUNT TO THE CAPITAL PROJECT 10-R CHECKING ACCOUNT TO PAY EXPENSES RELATED TO THE LANDFILL TANK COMMUNICATIONS UPGRADE PROJECT.

WHEREAS, The Gloversville Board of Water Commissioners will transfer moneys from its Capital Reserve Fund account in the General Fund to its Capital Project 10-R Checking Account to pay expenses related to the Landfill Tank Communications Upgrade Project.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS, CITY OF GLOVERSVILLE, NEW YORK, THAT:

RESOLVED, that there was hereby established a Capital Projects Fund 10-R in which per Resolution #2022-20 the Landfill Tank Communications Upgrade Project was added.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the Water Board is hereby authorized to transfer \$24,575.00 from the Capital Reserve Fund account in the General Fund to the Capital Project 10-R checking account to pay expenses related to the Landfill Tank Communications Project.

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon passage.

A motion was made by Commissioner Antonucci seconded by Commissioner Capano that the above resolution be adopted.

Votes Taken:

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Commissioner Antonucci	X	
Commissioner Isabella	ABSENT	
Commissioner Capano	X	
Commissioner White	X	
Commissioner Chittenden	ABSENT	

Adopted : June 13, 2023

The Clerk of the Water Board presented the Following Resolution and Moved for it's adoption

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-12

WHEREAS, the Gloversville Board of Water Commissioners 2022 Budget Requires Modification.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE ITS RESOLVED, that the following budgetary transfers be authorized:

Account Number	Account Description	Appropriation Increase	Appropriation Decrease
F1910	Unallocated Insurance	1750	
F1950	Taxes		-8700
F1990	Contingency		-49850
F9010	State Retirement		-39600
F9030	Social Security		-7600
F9060	Hosp & Medical Insurance		-14300
F9060.2	Dental/Vision	200	
F9710.6	Bond Principal GIGP Issue		-79990
F9730.7.	BAN Interest 2018 Issue		-11300
F8310.1	Personnel Service – Admin	8700	
F8310.2	Equipment Admin/Small Office Equip	1350	
F8310.4	Other Exp/Admin- Telephone/Fax	1650	
F8310.4	Other Exp/Admin- Advertising Legals	2300	
F8310.4	Other Exp/Admin- Legal Prof Services		-10000
F8310.4	Other Exp/Admin – Computer Services	14300	
F8310.4	Other Exp/Admin – Misc	2100	

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

F8310.4	Other Exp/Admin-Conferences	100	
F8310.4	Other Exp/Admin-Regulatory Fees	350	
F8310.4	Other Exp/Admin - Gasoline	650	
F8320.4	Personnel Service - S&S	350	
F8320.4	Personnel Service - S&S -Summer Help		-23900
F8320.4	Contractual Exp S&S/Telephone	750	
F8320.4	Contractual Exp S&S/Landfill (Dump)	250	
F8320.4	Contractual Exp S&S/Pumping Emerg		-4800
F8320.4	Contractual Exp S&S/Gasoline	4250	
F8330.1	Personnel Service - Plant		-37465
F8330.4	Other Exp/Plant – Chemicals	43350	
F8330.4	Other Exp/Plant – Fuel	3400	
F8330.4	Other Exp/Plant – Electric	3300	
F8330.4	Other Exp/Plant – Repair & Maintenance		-7200
F8330.4	Other Exp/Plant – Lab Equipment Supplies	8950	
F8330.4	Other Exp/Plant – Boiler Service		-5500
F8340.1	Personnel Services- T&D		-6400
F8340.2	Equipment T&D/ Trucks	78240	
F8340.2	Equipment T&D/ Trench Box	11300	
F8340.3	Capital Outlay T&D/ Town Infra Upgrade	33350	
F8340.3	Capital Outlay T&D/ Tryon Park W Tank	33465	
F8340.3	Capital Outlay T&D/ Tryon Water Main	5000	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/General Supplies	24400	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Gas & Electric	5500	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Telephone/Meter Data Plan	1200	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Hydrants New & Parts	1600	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Equip Repair & Maint	1700	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Outside Labor & Equip		-3000
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Tools	200	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Concrete & Asphalt	4500	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Clothing Allowance	1000	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Gasoline	6400	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Wesskum Woods Jtown	100	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Emergency Line Stops	6000	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Kingsboro Ave Final Repair	600	
F8340.4	Contractual Exp T&D/Pump Station Repairs		-3000
		312605	-312605

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon passage.

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

A motion was made by Commissioner Antonucci seconded by Commissioner White that the above resolution be adopted

Votes Taken:	Yes	No
Commissioner Antonucci	X	
Commissioner Capano	X	
Commissioner Isabella	ABSENT	
Commissioner White	X	
Commissioner Chittenden	ABSENT	

Adopted : June 13, 2023

Water Board President Gary Antonucci sponsored the following resolution and moved for its adoption:

RESOLUTION NO. 2023-13

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE TRANSFER OF FUNDS FROM THE CAPITAL RESERVE HIGH YIELD INTEREST BEARING SAVINGS ACCOUNT TO THE CAPITAL PROJECT 10-R CHECKING ACCOUNT TO PAY EXPENSES RELATED TO THE LANDFILL TANK COMMUNICATIONS UPGRADE PROJECT.

WHEREAS, The Gloversville Board of Water Commissioners will transfer moneys from its Capital Reserve Fund account in the General Fund to its Capital Project 10-R Checking Account to pay expenses related to the Landfill Tank Communications Upgrade Project.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS, CITY OF GLOVERSVILLE, NEW YORK, THAT:

RESOLVED, that there was hereby established a Capital Projects Fund 10-R in which per Resolution #2022-20 the Landfill Tank Communications Upgrade Project was added.

RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the Water Board is hereby authorized to transfer \$24,575.00 from the Capital Reserve Fund account in the General Fund to the Capital Project 10-R checking account to pay expenses related to the Landfill Tank Communications Project.

RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately upon passage.

A motion was made by Commissioner Antonucci seconded by Commissioner Capano that the above resolution be adopted.

Votes Taken:	Yes	No
Commissioner Antonucci	X	
Commissioner Isabella	ABSENT	
Commissioner Capano	X	
Commissioner White	X	
Commissioner Chittenden	ABSENT	

Adopted : June 13, 2023

GLOVERSVILLE BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS
MEETING MINUTES – JUNE 13, 2023

2. **Quickbooks** Quickbooks has requested the President to name Christine Linart the Administrator of the Quickbooks account.

A motion was made by Commissioner Antonucci to name Christine Linart as the official Administrator of the Gloversville Water Works Quickbooks Account. The Motion was seconded by Commissioner Capano.

Commissioner	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Antonucci	X			
Isabella			X	
Capano	X			
White	X			
Chittenden			X	

3. Ellen Anadio requested the Board change the day of their monthly meeting and this request was denied.

Commissioner Antonucci made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Capano.

Commissioner	Yes	No	Absent	Abstain
Antonucci	X			
Isabella			X	
Capano	X			
White	X			
Chittenden			X	

The next meeting will be held on July 11, 2023.